

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,945	03/31/2004	Gilles Grandpierre	251318US6 4929	
22850	7590 10/18/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			BARROW, JAMES G	
1940 DUKE ALEXANDR	SIREET UA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3749	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/8	12,945	GRANDPIERRE,	GRANDPIERRE, GILLES			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
			s G. Barrow	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 13 Septemb	per 2005.					
,	·	<u> </u>						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 13 September	<u>2005</u> is/are: a)	accepted or b	⊠ objected to by the Exa	ıminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any object							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority t	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F		_	· ·	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/812,945 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings were received on 09/13/2005. These drawings are not acceptable.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the apparatus to manufacture a glass plate (claim 11) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,945 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker (6,297,482) in view of Vilato et al (6,120,282). Becker discloses a glass-ceramic plate 15 of one-piece construction having at least one opening in the center thereof having a complex or polygonal shape 36 having a grill (see figure 2) that forms a mesh for a suction hood for the extraction of cooking gases having cooking and/or high temperature maintaining devices 30-34. However Becker does not disclose a bent upward portion formed around the opening or an apparatus for making it. Vilato et al teaches making a bent upward portion 6 and 6' (see figure 1a and 1b) in a ceramic plate and apparatus for doing so (see figure 2) in the same field of invention for the purpose of "is reliably protected from mechanical shocks and is sealed with respect to liquids" (C: 4, L: 29-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to bend the edges of the opening in Becker upward using the apparatus disclosed by Vilato et al in order to prevent liquids from spilling into the opening for the suction hood and damaging the fan and motor. Regarding claims 8 and 9, these process claims are dependent upon a product claim making them hybrid product by process claims, which are given little patentable weight. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person

Art Unit: 3749

of ordinary sill in the art to make the opening have a dimension greater than 10 cm, make the opening 35 cm from the edge of the ceramic plate, or locating the opening at least 35 mm from each heating area of the plate because Applicant has not disclosed that making the opening have a dimension greater than 10 cm, making the opening 35 cm from the edge of the ceramic plate, or locating the opening at least 35 mm from each heating area of the plate provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the opening having the length and location it has because it is long enough and located close enough to the heating areas to perform the stated function. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Becker to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1, 3, and 15.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that Becker failed to disclose each and every feature in claim 1, the original claim 1 claimed "a local deformation of the plate" (L: 2-3) not as it currently does a "bent portion of said plate". The word deformation could be construed to be as broad as the opening itself.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/812,945 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Barrow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30 A.M.-6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,945

Art Unit: 3749

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Barrow

EHUD GARTENBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER